	BEYATTEMAY EROE PHERMAGIOLP TREATY						
MATTER #: JGT1RA2WOEW							
INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINA			1				
To: DAVID P. OLYNICK	ACT	ON(S): PERPUNC		D/	7		
DAVID P. OLYNICK BEYER WEAVER & THOMAS, LLP PINICK & IDS 2030 ADDISON STREET PCT							
P.O. BOX 778, 7TH FLOOR	DUE	DATE(S): 10-12-0		WRITTEN	OPINION		
BERKELEI, CA 94/04	BERKELEY, CA 94704 11-12-02 NOT (PCT Rule 66)						
		KETED: 8-50 C BY		(PCI F	кше 00)		
	AUDI	TED BY: Cu	Date of Mailing	12 A	JG 2002	7	
Applicant's or agent's file referen	nce		(day/month/year) REPLY DUE			┨	
IGT1P042.WO				within 2 mont			
International application No.		International filing date (day/month/year)		Priority date (day/month/year)		1	
PCT/US01/32368 International Patent Classification	(IDC)	15 October 2001 (15.10.2001)		19 October 2000 (19.10.2000)]	
IPC(7): A63F 9/24; G07F 17/32			ion and IPC				
Applicant	and US	CI.: 403/42				┨	
INTERNATIONAL GAME TEC	HNOL	OGY					
1. This written opinion i	s the fir	st (first, etc,) drawn by tl	nic International D	oliminan F		-]	
		ons relating to the following		renminary Exan	nining Authority.		
			ig items:				
I Basis of the	e opinio	n					
II Priority							
III Non-establ	lishmen	t of opinion with regard to	novelty, inventive	step and indust	rial applicability		
IV Lack of ur	ity of i	nvention					
V Reasoned : citations as	statemen nd expla	nt under Rule 66.2 (a)(ii) wantions supporting such sta	vith regard to nove atement	elty, inventive st	tep or industrial applicability;		
VI Certain do							
VII Certain de	fects in	the international application	n				
VIII Certain ob	servatio	ns on the international app	lication				
3. The applicant is hereb	y inv ite	ed to reply to this opinion.					
When? See th	The state of the s						
How? By sul							
Also For an additional opportunity to submit amendments, see Rule 66.4. For the examiner's obligation to consider amendments and/or arguments, see Rule 66.4 bis.							
For an informal communication with the examiner, see Rule 66.6 If no reply is filed, the international preliminary examination report will be established on the basis of this opinion.							
4. The final date by which the international preliminary examination report must be established according to Rule 69.2 is: 19 February 2003 (19.02.2003)							
Name and mailing address of the IPEA/US Authorized officer					+		
Box PCT Washington, D.C. 20231			M. Sager		Shelia Veney Paralegal Specialist	1	
Facsimile No. (703)305-3230			Telephone No.	703-308-0858	Group 3700		

WRITTEN OPINION

International application No.

PCT/US01/32368

1. With regard to the elements of the international application: ★ the international application as originally filed ★ the description: pages 1-35 pages NONE filed with the demand pages NONE pages NONE filed with the demand pages NONE pages 36-43 as originally filed pages NONE pages NONE as amended (together with any statement) under Article 19 pages NONE filed with the demand pages NONE filed with the demand pages NONE filed with the demand pages NONE filed with the letter of ★ the sequence listing part of the description: pages NONE filed with the letter of ★ so originally filed pages NONE filed with the demand pages NONE filed with the demand pages NONE filed with the letter of ★ so originally filed pages NONE filed with the demand pages NONE filed with the letter of With regard to the language, all the elements marked above were available or furnished to this Authority in the following language which is: the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rule 23.1(b)). the language of publication of the international application (under Rule 48.3(b)). the language of the translation furnished for the purposes of international preliminary examination(under Rules 55.2 and/or 55.3). With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, the written opinion was drawn on the basis of the sequence listing: contained in the international application in computer readable form. furnished subsequently to this Authority in ownputer readable form. furnished subsequently to this Authority in computer readable form. furnished subsequently to this Authority in computer readable form. The statement that the subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure in the international application in computer readable form. The tatement that the information recorded in computer read	I.	Bas	is of the opinion
the description: pages 1-35, as originally filed pages NONE, filed with the demand pages NONE, filed with the letter of the claims: pages 36-43, as originally filed pages NONE, filed with the demand pages NONE, filed with the letter of 2. With regard to the language, all the elements marked above were available or furnished to this Authority in the language in which the international application was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item. These elements were available or furnished to this Authority in the following language which is: the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rule23.1(b)). the language of publication of the international application (under Rule 48.3(b)). the language of the translation furnished for the purposes of international preliminary examination(under Rules 55.2 and/or 55.3). 3. With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, the written opinion was drawn on the basis of the sequence listing: contained in the international application in printed form. furnished subsequently to this Authority in written form. furnished subsequently to this Authority in computer readable form. The statement that the information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence listing has been furnished. The statement that the information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence listing has been furnis	1.	With	regard to the elements of the international application:*
the claims: pages 36-43		\boxtimes	the description: pages 1-35, as originally filed pages NONE, filed with the demand
pages NONE			the claims: pages 36-43 , as originally filed
pages NONE, filed with the demand pages NONE, filed with the letter of		\boxtimes	pages NONE , filed with the demand pages NONE , filed with the letter of .
pages NONE, as originally filed pages NONE, filed with the demand pages NONE, filed with the demand pages NONE, filed with the letter of 2. With regard to the language, all the elements marked above were available or furnished to this Authority in the language in which the international application was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item. These elements were available or furnished to this Authority in the following language which is: the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rule23.1(b)) the language of the translation of the international application (under Rule 48.3(b)) the language of the translation furnished for the purposes of international preliminary examination(under Rules 55.2 and/or 55.3). 3. With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, the written opinion was drawn on the basis of the sequence listing: contained in the international application in printed form furnished subsequently to this Authority in written form furnished subsequently to this Authority in computer readable form furnished subsequently to this Authority in computer readable form furnished subsequently to this Authority in computer readable form furnished subsequently to this Authority in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence listing has been furnished. 1. The statement that the information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence listing has been furnished. 2. The amendments have resulted in the cancellation of: the drawings, sheets/fig none the drawings if (some of) the amendments had n			pages 1-10 , as originally filed pages NONE , filed with the demand
language in which the international application was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item. These elements were available or furnished to this Authority in the following language			pages NONE , as originally filed pages NONE , filed with the demand
the language of publication of the international application (under Rule 48.3(b)). the language of the translation furnished for the purposes of international preliminary examination(under Rules 55.2 and/or 55.3). With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, the written opinion was drawn on the basis of the sequence listing: contained in the international application in printed form. filed together with the international application in computer readable form. furnished subsequently to this Authority in written form. furnished subsequently to this Authority in computer readable form. The statement that the subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed has been furnished. The statement that the information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence listing has been furnished. The amendments have resulted in the cancellation of: the description, pages none the claims, Nos. none the drawings, sheets/fig none This opinion has been drawn as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, since they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the Supplemental Box (Rule 70.2(c)). * Replacement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in		lang	page in which the international application was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item. e elements were available or furnished to this Authority in the following languagewhich is:
opinion was drawn on the basis of the sequence listing: contained in the international application in printed form. filed together with the international application in computer readable form. furnished subsequently to this Authority in written form. furnished subsequently to this Authority in computer readable form. The statement that the subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed has been furnished. The statement that the information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence listing has been furnished. The amendments have resulted in the cancellation of: the description, pages none the claims, Nos. none the drawings, sheets/fig none This opinion has been drawn as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, since they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the Supplemental Box (Rule 70.2(c)). * Replacement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in			the language of publication of the international application (under Rule 48.3(b)). the language of the translation furnished for the purposes of international preliminary examination(under Rules
filed together with the international application in computer readable form. furnished subsequently to this Authority in written form. furnished subsequently to this Authority in computer readable form. The statement that the subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed has been furnished. The statement that the information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence listing has been furnished. The amendments have resulted in the cancellation of: the description, pages none the claims, Nos. none the drawings, sheets/fig none This opinion has been drawn as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, since they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the Supplemental Box (Rule 70.2(c)). * Replacement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in	3.	With opin	n regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, the written ion was drawn on the basis of the sequence listing:
international application as filed has been furnished. The statement that the information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence listing has been furnished. The amendments have resulted in the cancellation of: the description, pages none the claims, Nos. none the drawings, sheets/fig none This opinion has been drawn as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, since they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the Supplemental Box (Rule 70.2(c)). * Replacement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in			filed together with the international application in computer readable form. furnished subsequently to this Authority in written form.
 4. The amendments have resulted in the cancellation of: the description, pages none the claims, Nos. none the drawings, sheets/fig none This opinion has been drawn as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, since they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the Supplemental Box (Rule 70.2(c)). * Replacement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in 			international application as filed has been furnished. The statement that the information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence listing
the claims, Nos. none the drawings, sheets/fig none This opinion has been drawn as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, since they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the Supplemental Box (Rule 70.2(c)). * Replacement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in	4.	\boxtimes	
 This opinion has been drawn as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, since they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the Supplemental Box (Rule 70.2(c)). * Replacement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in 			the claims, Nos. none
* Replacement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in	5.		This opinion has been drawn as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, since they have been considered to go
	* I this	Repla opin	cement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in

WRITTEN OPINION

International application No.

PCT/US01/32368

III. Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability				
1. The question whether the claimed invention appears to be novel, to involve an inventive step (to be non-obvious), or to be industrially applicable have not been examined in respect of:				
the entire international application,				
claims Nos. <u>15-47</u>				
because:				
the said international application, or the said claim Nos relate to the following subject matter which does not require international preliminary examination (specify):				
the description, claims or drawings (indicate particular elements below) or said claims Nos are so unclear that no meaningful opinion could be formed (specify):				
the claims, or said claims Nos are so inadequately supported by the description that no meaningful opinion could be formed.				
no international search report has been established for said claims Nos. 15-47.				
2. A written opinion cannot be drawn due to the failure of the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing to comply with the standard provided for in Annex C of the Administrative Instructions:				
the written form has not been furnished or does not comply with the standard.				
the computer readable form has not been furnished or does not comply with the standard.				

WRITTEN OPINION

International application No. PCT/US01/32368

V Possessiant A D D L (COV)	/** * · *			
V. Reasoned statement under Rule 66.2(a) (citations and explanations supporting su			inventive step or inc	lustrial applicability;
1. STATEMENT		<u> </u>		
1. STATEMENT				
Novelty (N)	Claims	2 and 9-14		YES
	Claims	1 and 3-8		NO
Inventive Step (IS)	Claima	NONE		YES
inventive step (13)	Claims			NO NO
	O.u.i.i.			
Industrial Applicability (IA)	Claims	1-14		YES
	Claims	NONE		NO
2. CITATIONS AND EXPLANATIONS				
Claims 1 and 3-8 lacks novelty under PCT Article 3	33(2) as being a	anticipated by Po	ease (5759102).	
Claims 2 lacks an inventive step under PCT Article				
discloses the invention but lacks firewall. However with a plurality of entities. Specifically, to protect:	it is known as	admitted by bac	ckground disclosure rem	note gaming (1:16-4:23)
the data. Johnson discloses method or system using	a firewall to p	rotect sensitive	data in a network. Then	refore, it would have been
obvious to a routineer to add firewall as known and	disclosed by Jo	ohnson to Pease	's game to protect sensit	tive data.
Claims 9-14 lack an inventive step under PCT Artic	ele 33(3) as bei	ng obvious over	Pease in view of Brown	n (5921947) and Boushy
(5761647. Pease discloses the invention but lacks the for a plurality of entities to own terminals and software.)	he plurality of	entities and the	privileges claimed therei	in. However, it is known 3) Poughy further
demonstrates a plurality of entities owning terminals	s and software	components wh	ich inherently have privi	ileges. Also, Brown
teaches entities and the privileges in a network to per Therefore, it would have been obvious to a routinee	ermit access for	r entities with ap	ppropriate privileges wh	ile protecting data therein.
claimed as suggested by Boushy in conjunction with	Brown to Pea	se game system	and method to permit a	ccess while protecting data
therein.				
Claims 1-14 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article	33(2)-(4), bec	ause the prior a	rt has industrial applicat	oility as gaming terminal
data repository.				
NEW CITATIONS				
US 5761647 A (BOUSHY) 02 June 1998, 2:5-3:30,	See figs 1-12.			
US 5923885 A (JOHNSON et al) 13 July 1999, 5:6	6-6:32.			

WRITTEN	OPINION

International application No. PCT/US01/32368

Supplemental Box (To be used when the space in any of the preceding boxes is not sufficient)	
TIME LIMIT: The time limit set for response to a Written Opinion may not be extended. 37 CFR 1.484(d). Any response received after the expiration of the time limit set in the Written Opinion will not be considered in preparing the International Preliminary Examination Report.)n